

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CRIMINAL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No. 2:18-cr-281-AB (3)

Date January 7, 2020

Title United States v. Curtis Daeshon Clark

Present: The Honorable Steve Kim, U.S. Magistrate Judge

Connie Chung

n/a

Deputy Clerk

Court Reporter / Recorder

Attorneys Present for Government:

Attorneys Present for Defendant:

n/a

n/a

Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER OF DETENTION –
PROBATION/SUPERVISED RELEASE VIOLATION

The Court conducted a detention hearing pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1(a)(6) and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a) following Defendant's arrest for alleged violation(s) of the terms of Defendant's ☐ probation / ☒ supervised release.

The Court finds that:

A. ☒ Defendant has not carried his/her burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that Defendant will appear for further proceedings as required if released [18 U.S.C. § 3142(b-c)]. This finding is based on:

- ☐ Lack of bail resources
- ☒ Failure to report to Pretrial Services as ordered
- ☐ No stable residence or employment
- ☒ Failure to appear for court ordered hearings
- ☐ Ties to foreign countries
- ☒ Allegations in petition
- ☐

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CRIMINAL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No. 2:18-cr-281-AB (3) **Date** January 7, 2020

Title United States v. Curtis Daeshon Clark

B. ☐ Defendant has not carried his/her burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that Defendant will not endanger the safety of any other person or the community if released [18 U.S.C. § 3142(b-c)]. This finding is based on:

- ☐ Nature of previous criminal convictions
- ☐ Allegations in petition
- ☐ Substance abuse
- ☐ Already in custody on state or federal offense
- ☐

* * *

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant be detained pending further proceedings.